RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

* * * * * * * *

Taken before SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court

Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at Building

215, Fort McClellan, Alabama, on the

17th day of November, 2003, commencing at approximately

6:30 p.m.

REPORTER'S INDEX	
CAPTION SHEET 1	
REPORTER'S INDEX 2	
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD 3-72	
CERTIFICATE 73-74	

1	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	I am here.
2	Gary Harvey?		
3	MR.	GARY HARVEY: Her	е.
4	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	Scott Beckett
5	has resigned. James	Buford?	
6	MR.	JAMES BUFORD: He	re.
7	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	
8	Mary Harrington?		
9	DR.	MARY HARRINGTON:	She's here.
10	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	Pete Conroy?
11	MR.	PETE CONROY: Her	e.
12	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	Barry Cox?
13	DR.	BARRY COX: Here.	
14	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	David Steffy?
15	DR.	DAVID STEFFY: He	re.
16	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	Jerome Elser?
17	MR.	JERRY ELSER: Her	e.
18	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	Donna Fathke?
19	MS.	DONNA FATHKE: He	re.
20	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	
21	Curtis Franklin?		
22	MR.	CURTIS FRANKLIN:	Here.
23	MR.	MONTY CLENDENIN:	Craig

1	Branchfield? Mayor Kimbrough?
2	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Here.
3	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Cheryl Bragg?
4	MS. CHERYL BRAGG: Here.
5	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN:
6	Dwight Mitchell is out. Jim Miller?
7	MR. JIM MILLER: Here.
8	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Ron Grant.
9	MR. RON GRANT: Here.
10	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Ron Levy?
11	MR. RON LEVY: Here.
12	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN:
13	Doyle Brittain? Philip Stroud?
14	MR. PHILLIP STROUD: Here.
15	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. All
16	right, now, let's go around the room, starting back
17	here with Lisa and coming around this way and say who
18	you are and what you do.
19	MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Lisa Holstein,
20	Transition Force, Environmental Office.
21	MS. KAREN PINSON: Karen Pinson,
22	Transition Force, Environmental.
23	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Brenda Cunningham,

1	Transition	Force	Environmental.
	TTAILDTCTOIL	TOTCC,	BIIVII OIIIICII CAI.

- 2 SARA CLEMENCE: Sara Clemence,
- 3 The Anniston Star.
- 4 MR. JIM GRASSIANO: Jim Grassiano,
- 5 ADEM.
- 6 MR. PAUL JAMES: Paul James,
- 7 Transition Force, Environmental Office.
- 8 SHANA DECKER: Shana Decker with
- 9 ADEM.
- 10 MR. BILL SHANKS: Bill Shanks,
- 11 Transition Force, Environmental Office.
- 12 MR. ART HOLCOMB: Art Holcomb,
- 13 Foster Wheeler.
- 14 MR. DAN COPELAND: Dan Copeland,
- 15 Corps of Engineers, Huntsville.
- MR. TODD BATES (phonetic):
- 17 Todd Bates (phonetic), Foster Wheeler.
- MR. BOB SCHMITTER: Bob Schmitter,
- 19 TOSC Program.
- 20 MR. BOB DAFFRON: Bob Daffron,
- 21 National Guard Training Center, Environmental Office.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. Thank
- you all for being here.

1	You see the minutes in your packet.
2	Look at those right quick. If there are any changes
3	or corrections or additions, make that known right
4	quick. We have two sets of minutes. We did not have
5	a quorum last time, so, we could not approve the
6	minutes. I'm assuming we have a quorum today.
7	I have to have approval on those
8	separately, if you want to make a motion on them.
9	DR. BARRY COX: So moved.
10	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Second?
11	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Second.
12	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Any discussion
13	for September?
14	Okay. Anything for October?
15	MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: Monty, give me
16	one second. Okay?
17	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Everybody
18	straight down this line right here, I can't see your
19	faces, so when you talk, talk loudly. Thank you.
20	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: What's your
21	pleasure on October? Any corrections, changes? Hear
22	a motion?
23	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I move that we

1	accept the motion to approve as they're printed.
2	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Second?
3	MR. JERRY ELSER: Second.
4	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Any
5	discussion? Everybody in favor say I.
6	Okay. The program tonight, report
7	of pre-RAB meeting. I don't know who is going to make
8	this report. Is somebody Pete, you want to do this
9	for us then? All right. Go ahead.
10	MR. PETE CONROY: Okay. The report
11	is brief, but I would say somewhat profound in this
12	pre-meeting, collectively, I guess we've come to the
13	conclusion that our service to this RAB may not be
14	worth our time. And nothing we could recall has
15	resulted in anything that we could remember. In other
16	words, as volunteers, we're calling in to question our
17	service as RAB members.
18	And it was a sad thing when I asked
19	this board collectively, if there is anything we have
20	done that might be considered worthwhile, no one could
21	come up with anything. And that sounds a little
22	bleak.

23

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

And so, we've decided to ask, I

1	guess, Gary, you, in your new role, to convince us
2	that continued service to this RAB would change in
3	some way and become more meaningful and, in the
4	future, worth our time.
5	Before I hand the floor over to
6	Gary, I want to make certain that that is in essence
7	what we had discussed, collectively. That's about it.
8	This is kind of a tough question,
9	and all of us feel bad that the only thing we could
10	collectively say that we've done is: We've had coffee
11	and we've spent a lot of time here. And looking
12	around the room, I see some folks are on a payroll
13	right now, and if we were to add up what that payroll
14	would be per hour, per meeting, it would be a pretty
15	hefty bottom line.
16	And the time that we take away from
17	other meetings and our families, that's worth
18	something, we know. And so, Gary, give it a shot,
19	because we're deciding as to whether or not we want to
20	continue.
21	MR. GARY HARVEY: Okay. Well, first
22	of all, I'd like to take a shot at talking about the
23	money, because I've been counting it up and wondering

1	why we're spending so much money, if we're not getting
2	anything out of it.
3	This thing takes time. And you got
4	to read everything that's put out, and it's difficult,
5	okay. So, you need to look at and I'm not trying
6	to turn it around on you if you've got the time to
7	spend. Now, whatever it is you want, we will try to
8	give you. You've got Ron Grant, you've I've
9	forgotten your name already. I'm bad on names.
10	Pardon?
11	MR. BOB SCHMITTER: Bob Schmitter.
11 12	MR. BOB SCHMITTER: Bob Schmitter. MR. GARY HARVEY: Bob, we've got you
12	MR. GARY HARVEY: Bob, we've got you
12 13	MR. GARY HARVEY: Bob, we've got you all the resources, you've got to use them. Now, I can
12 13 14	MR. GARY HARVEY: Bob, we've got you all the resources, you've got to use them. Now, I can put together most anything you want. We can brief you
12 13 14 15	MR. GARY HARVEY: Bob, we've got you all the resources, you've got to use them. Now, I can put together most anything you want. We can brief you on the status of this, status of that, where we're at,
12 13 14 15 16	MR. GARY HARVEY: Bob, we've got you all the resources, you've got to use them. Now, I can put together most anything you want. We can brief you on the status of this, status of that, where we're at, and I'll give it to you down and dirty, okay. I don't
12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GARY HARVEY: Bob, we've got you all the resources, you've got to use them. Now, I can put together most anything you want. We can brief you on the status of this, status of that, where we're at, and I'll give it to you down and dirty, okay. I don't caveat nothing.

And as advisory, I think the job is even tougher than

if you're in control, because you can get more

latitude if you're in control.

21

22

23

1	So, I don't know what it is you
2	want. I'm prepared to do it. We have a lot of people
3	here, which and I think are probably too many
4	just in case you might ask a question. I guess that's
5	the reason they're here. Is that why you're here,
6	Art?
7	MR. ART HOLCOMB: Yes, sir.
8	MR. GARY HARVEY: I mean, that's
9	just a guess on my part.
10	But I don't know what y'all want,
11	what y'all's expectations. We've got you some
12	resources. Ron did 101 today, right, Ron?
13	MR. RON GRANT: Yeah.
14	MR. GARY HARVEY: With them kind of
15	thing. I don't know if that helped.
16	I understood all of Jim's points the
17	other day. He doesn't want to come unless he can do
18	something. I'll put together whatever you want in
19	order for you to be productive. We can do it. We've
20	got the assets here. But y'all have to come ready,
21	you've got to read what is on the program or what's
22	provided and know anecdotal stuff and go at it.
23	I mean, what do you want to know

1	about	environmental	restoration	here?

- 2 MR. PETE CONROY: I really don't
- 3 want this to be a dialogue between the two of us,
- 4 but --
- 5 MR. GARY HARVEY: Well, you asked
- 6 the question, I'm talking back straight to you.
- 7 MR. PETE CONROY: I appreciate that.
- 8 I was doing that on behalf of a larger group.
- 9 MR. GARY HARVEY: I understand.
- 10 MR. PETE CONROY: Is there anyone
- else that would like to carry the ball? I'd be happy
- 12 to --
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Well, the
- 14 biggest problem, I think that in the discussion for
- what we've talked about, and you say you want to give
- us what we want, but when we get the final product,
- 17 it's done. And I think the biggest problem is: From
- 18 an advisory, you ask for the input as you are doing
- it, in the draft stages. And you know, we're not
- afforded that.
- 21 DR. BARRY COX: I think one of the
- 22 con- -- it is our perception you don't want any
- advice. In other words, you're going to wait 'till

- it's over and then tell us what you did. Would that be a fair statement?
- 3 MR. PETE CONROY: (Nods head.)
- 4 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Part of the issue
- is that the documents are so complex. It's very hard
- for us to hone in on what are the issues. If we were
- 7 allowed to have access to the drafts and to the EPA
- 8 and ADEM's comments on the draft, it would help us
- 9 focus in on what the important issues are.
- 10 Right now have no idea where to go,
- and it's impossible to read through those documents
- and understand that without some guidance on where the
- issues lie.
- 14 And according to RAB 101, we are
- supposed to have access to all drafts and final
- 16 documents.
- 17 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Gary,
- 18 where this -- I think, where some of it started, I
- 19 believe it was the ESCA on the Alpha area. That was
- 20 an issue that we -- really brought some things to a
- 21 head. But we received -- well, we had to go to ADEM
- to get the final document.
- 23 MR. GARY HARVEY: When was this?

1	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: This was
2	year, two year, year and a half
3	MR. GARY HARVEY: Who went to ADEM
4	to get it?
5	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Pete got
6	it.
7	MS. DONNA FATHKE: Pete, he did.
8	MR. GARY HARVEY: Well, I understand
9	how that transpired, but I didn't know anybody
10	'cause we checked on there who signed out from ADEM,
11	and we didn't see that. So, we thought maybe there
12	was something else coming. Y'all are going to be
13	getting documents, but that's here nor there.
14	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: But
15	what when we looked, and then this document was
16	presented, and when we looked at the guidelines, it
17	said that we were supposed to see preliminary
18	documents. You should it was suggested, of course,
19	it says, "should", you know. It doesn't say "it
20	shall".
21	MR. GARY HARVEY: Uh-huh.
22	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: And we saw

the final document. So, it's very frustrating for us,

1	when the negotiations and everything go in, is to have
2	input on something that the first time we see it, the
3	decisions have already been made.
4	Now, after our discussion tonight, I
5	understand the BCT might have changed some of the
6	operations, in that alternatives that are discussed in
7	the BCT, we've been told were confidential. So, from
8	our from our background is: You know, when a
9	decision is made, what alternatives have been
10	evaluated and looked at, and, you know, how can we
11	give advice if we receive the final document?
12	And this I talked to somebody
13	today that is new on this, and they're very frustrated
14	because the questions and I'm being very frank with
15	you up front the questions, when we ask them, it
16	seems like automatically, the impression that we have
17	gotten, that a wall goes up and it becomes an
18	attack maybe an attack instead of looking at it
19	objectively and saying, well, you know, is this a
20	legitimate concern or is it not a legitimate concern?
21	And so, that's the frustrations that
22	have come out. And so, that's what we're asking you
23	as, I think, Pete, isn't it, what Pete said

1	MR. JIM MILLER: I think one thing
2	that needs to be pointed out is, is that we see it
3	our point of view is a little different from y'all's
4	point of view. And that's the nature of the beast.
5	But it doesn't have to be adversarial.
6	Our point of view is is that when
7	all is said and done at the end of the day, we want
8	some property out here that the community can use for
9	its benefit. And I think maybe that's true of the
10	Army, too, to some extent, but I think, also, y'all
11	are looking at a lot of other things, like cost and
12	getting out with your shirt and all those kind of
13	things that I would be looking at if I were in your
14	shoes.
15	But, you know, our bottom line is is
16	how well this gets cleaned up, how usable it is, and
17	what kind of legacy is left here for the next
18	generation. And I don't think that it should be I
19	don't think y'all should be defensive about that or
20	resentful about that.
21	MR. RON LEVY: I don't think we are.
22	I mean, in fact
23	MR. JIM MILLER: Well, some people

1	harra	haan	~:	+ h - +	i	000100
T	nave	been	given	tilat	TIIIDT	ession.

- MR. GARY HARVEY: Okay. Let me
- 3 stand up. I need to stand up. If I'm going to talk
- 4 to everybody, I need to get off my butt.
- 5 MR. RON LEVY: This issue is
- 6 something that actually had come up on the draft
- documents a long time, and the Army told you, those
- 8 draft documents are available to be reviewed. I mean,
- 9 now there's a lot of new faces and a lot of new folks,
- 10 but --
- 11 MR. JIM MILLER: But my
- understanding, if I recall correctly, is is we had to
- 13 know what draft document to ask for.
- MR. RON LEVY: We've given you
- 15 the -- we gave you the spread sheet on all those
- documents that are out there, and we've given it out,
- 17 consistently. So --
- MR. JIM MILLER: Well, I think the
- 19 group is really -- I think the group would really just
- 20 like to -- or do you want our advice or would you
- 21 rather not have it?
- MR. RON LEVY: My impression is you
- 23 want me to tell you what to ask me. I mean, and I'm

- not sure what that is. So, that's my frustration,
- 2 that's a lot of our frustration. You know, tell us
- 3 what your problem is, so we can ask it back to you and
- delve into that. And I don't know what to -- how to
- 5 answer that.
- 6 MR. PETE CONROY: You've done a good
- job, Ron, of answering questions when you've been
- 8 asked those questions.
- 9 But, again, at the end of the day,
- 10 our question, collectively is: You know, convince us
- 11 that this is worth our time and that our input
- 12 matters, in terms of the outcome.
- 13 MR. GARY HARVEY: Let's get
- something straight. We're either doing it or we ain't
- doing it. We can't say we're doing it, then say we're
- 16 not.
- 17 I want to address Jim's point.
- 18 Okay?
- MR. PETE CONROY: I'm not sure what
- 20 you mean by that.
- 21 MR. GARY HARVEY: I get exactly what
- you're saying here, that we can be adversarial without
- getting bad feelings or anything else. Now, we do

1	have some problems with what BCT does and everybody
2	else, and that is spending the Government's money,
3	because a lot of risk analysis comes to that. We
4	shouldn't be defensive about it.
5	We ought to sit there and say, on
6	this part of the deliberation, what we're talking now,
7	and we ought to be able to tell you why, which would
8	do a lot of it's almost the same as union
9	negotiations. You can be adversarial with the union
10	and never be mad at the union chief.
11	I don't know how we have all the
12	documents, I'm not sure you know what the documents
13	mean. I get a little confused. We've got the fourth
14	draft of the fifth iteration of the fifteenth response
15	to comments, and every this environmental stuff is
16	real complicated.
17	Do we need to tell you and this
18	is where I think you can use Ron. If Ron needs to
19	come out here more, we've got lots of money for him,
20	right?
21	MR. RON LEVY: Well, not lots of
22	money.
23	MR. GARY HARVEY: We haven't been

-			- ·	_					- ·	
1	using	а	lot	ΟĪ	ıt.	Well,	not	а	lot	

- 2 MR. JIM MILLER: (Inaudible)
- 3 everything. We started --
- 4 MR. GARY HARVEY: -- but some money.
- 5 MR. JIM MILLER: -- (inaudible).
- 6 MR. GARY HARVEY: Maybe we need to
- 7 bring Ron out here and he can go back and say, hey,
- 8 this is what's coming up, this is what you need to
- 9 look at. None of this stuff is secret, y'all pay for
- 10 it.
- 11 MR. JIM MILLER: Well, Gary, I
- 12 think -- I don't disagree with what you're saying.
- MR. GARY HARVEY: Okay.
- MR. JIM MILLER: And I've known you
- for a long time. I've got a lot of respect for you
- and Ron. That's not the issue here. Ron Levy and
- 17 Ron Grant, for that matter.
- 18 But we're committing -- we committed
- 19 tonight an extra bit of time for Ron to educate us on
- 20 some of the issues here and what our role is. I quess
- 21 the question we're asking, though, is: Do y'all
- really want to hear that? Do you really want to hear
- 23 our concerns? 'Cause we're going to have to put a lot

1	of	time	and	а	lot	of	effort	into	getting	up	to	speed

- 2 so that we can ask you intelligent questions.
- But before I do that, and I think
- 4 before the rest of this group does that, we want to
- 5 know if that is -- if we're going to legitimately be
- 6 heard and the end result is, is this cleanup going to
- 7 be better for it or is there any possibility of that?
- 8 If that is not in the cards, I think frankly,
- 9 honestly, we'd all just like to know that so we can go
- 10 home.
- MR. PETE CONROY: But if Gary says
- anything other than a yes, then he's in direct
- conflict with Army policy and it puts him in a spot.
- MR. JIM MILLER: Well --
- MR. PETE CONROY: So, we
- 16 recognize --
- 17 MR. JIM MILLER: And my part, I'm
- off -- I mean, you know, for my part -- this can be
- 19 off the record -- I'm not going to hold anybody's feet
- 20 to the fire. This is just trying to make things work.
- 21 MR. GARY HARVEY: I understand what
- 22 you meant. Yeah.
- MR. JIM MILLER: Uh-huh.

1	MR. GARY HARVEY: Now, the RAB is
2	advisory.
3	MR. JIM MILLER: Well, we understand
4	that. We don't have control.
5	MR. GARY HARVEY: Right. I
6	understand that. I'm just restating something, Jim.
7	And you also got a member of the
8	LRA. Now, they're going to do the Alpha area. That's
9	already been negotiated, the money been allocated, and
10	they're going to do the cleanup. Okay?
11	Now, they are the ones that speak
12	with more authority than quote "an advisory board",
13	but y'all got input. I mean, everybody in the LRA
14	knows what the RAB's doing every day. When I go there
15	on a Monday on a Tuesday morning meeting, there's
16	reports from the RAB the day before, what they're
17	doing, most likely, what they're not doing.
18	I don't know I can't think of a
19	reason why I wouldn't forward your concerns to anyone
20	if it were something I couldn't handle here. And I'd

tell you, you know, if it's Army policy, I'll flat

tell you. I won't hide behind it, never have.

21

22

23

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

So, I'm hard pressed -- you got to

1	put in a lot of time. You got the newspaper here, she
2	writes your issues all the time. I don't get to do
3	that. You've got the LRA doing it.
4	Give me something, something that
5	you haven't seen that I need to show you. I'm real

you haven't seen that I need to show you. I'm real old school. If your tax dollar paid for it, you've got a right to see it, unless it is top secret and somebody is going to die, and we ain't there and we don't do that kind of work anymore.

DR. DAVID STEFFY: Can you tell me an instance of where someone asked you a question at one of these meetings that made an impact on the Army?

MR. GARY HARVEY: I don't know, I haven't been coming to them. I've been doing another job.

DR. DAVID STEFFY: Who can I point that question to?

MR. RON LEVY: You know, some of the discussion -- let's talk about the Alpha area EECA.

And you may not be privy to this, but I know the JPA is -- there was discussion about the -- from a regulatory standpoint, on the amount of sampling that was done out in the Alpha. One of the things we did

1	negotiate, because it was a RAB concern, 'cause it
2	came from RAB members, was we were concerned about the
3	number of samples.
4	And you can go back and see that
5	that were taken out there, as to whether or not it was
6	adequate, the no further action areas. Well, in fact,
7	if you look at what's been negotiated with the JPA,
8	there are more samples being taken as part of that
9	contract, part of that ESCA to do that.
10	Pete, there was a time when you
11	wrote a letter, as a RAB member, to DA, to the RAB
12	folks, about the concern on funding. That did have an
13	impact. We did see more funding come. We saw a
14	release of additional dollars.
15	We've heard comments about concerns
16	on some of the EE/CA stuff. I've got to tell you, a
17	lot of what we've done really is tried to prove the
18	negative, you know, that there's nothing there.
19	So, we've eliminated a lot of sites,
20	which the regulatory community was in agreement with.
21	We've still got a lot of sites that we haven't
22	finished and that we still haven't got to a decision.
23	There's not been a lot of cleanup, because the

1	decisions haven't been made. They've been held up.
2	In fact, that in itself is
3	significant, the RAB's concerns there held up things
4	that about landfills, that you weren't really sure
5	that we were doing everything that we were supposed to
6	be doing. And part of the negotiation when we went
7	into the privatization or the ESCA with the JPA, in
8	fact, took into account those things, and there's more
9	work being done because the JPA agreed to it.
10	It's different from what we
11	originally said in the first place in some of those
12	documents. So, yeah, I think the RAB has had an
13	effect. Now
14	DR. DAVID STEFFY: (Inaudible) false
15	impression that I've developed here tonight that we're
16	not being delivered the final document and not given a
17	chance to participate in restoration before the final
18	document comes out?
19	MR. RON LEVY: Well, there was a
20	time where we weren't really showing you draft
21	documents. And now I think it's been almost a year
22	since that time has occurred. And I understand your
23	delima about the draft documents, we don't really know

1	what	to	Look	at,	I do	understand	that.
2					But	that's wha	t these

But that's what these other

resources are there for, and I thought that you were

going to use the TOSC and Ron on some of those, but it

really hasn't gotten off the ground. I'm not blaming

the RAB for that.

But those resources are out there, look at a particular issue, look at a particular site. I mean, I can't hold your hand and take you to that site and say, you know, we've got a problem here that you should look at because from a RAB perspective, if you look at it, and you've got a concern, then you should be asking, Bob and company and Ron to go back in and look at it, and they will explain it to you.

We've had public meetings, documents on -- and I get very little to no participation, at all, to include RAB members, I mean, separate public meetings, which is kind of frustrating from my part; everybody's got a concern, but they don't show up to raise that as an issue.

22 And I know you're frustrated about 23 it, but so am I. You've got to come to me and say,

And who knows what would come out of that.

1	man, this is a problem. But you've got to be specific
2	about what that problem is, instead of looking, you
3	know, well, let me just trying to find a fight
4	about this, because it's not about that. It's about
5	what is the issue, what is the impacts to human health
6	and the environment for you, for the community, for
7	whoever, that you can tell me you're concerned with.
8	The groundwater issues associated
9	with landfill three is another one. Are we impacting
10	human health and the environment? Are we impacting
11	people's drinking waters, at this point? And we've
12	gone through a number of renditions on that.
13	Now, we understand, it's a problem.
14	But there are means that we're trying to do to address
15	it.
16	And the JPA's got that now. So, we
17	have heard you.
18	I mean, I understand the perception
19	is that nothing the RAB has said or done has made an
20	impact, but I really think it has. I think there's a
21	lot of stuff that's come out, that if not overtly
22	influenced the Army, in other ways has influenced the
23	Army to move in different directions.

1	I know my headquarters is concerned
2	when they hear things coming out of the RAB that are
3	not, you know, going over too well. The Alpha area is
4	an example. I mean, we really did try to respond to
5	that one.
6	And we've got Charlie and Bravo,
7	those are not out because the Army is still working
8	it, internally. And I'll be very frank with you, you
9	know, we've got some concerns internally about what
10	we're going to present to make sure that we're right
11	and that we've got the right information, that we're
12	not holding anything back, and that we are doing an
13	adequate job, and that the risks are going to be, you
14	now, very low to none.
15	But, you know, we haven't gotten it
16	out to you. It's still being worked.
17	MS. DONNA FATHKE: Ron, you've
18	addressed the issue of the draft documents, that
19	they're now available, but what really guides us to
20	the issues are the comments that go between the
21	agencies and the Army.
22	MR. RON LEVY: And ADEM will tell
23	you you're welcome to it. I think ADEM has said

- 1 publicly to you, previously, in the past, that when
- 2 they get comments in to the agency or address them
- 3 back, they become public documents.
- And help me out here, Jim, am I not
- 5 right on that? Have you not said that?
- 6 MR. JIM GRASSIANO: That is correct.
- 7 MR. RON LEVY: And you're welcome to
- 8 them. You can go right --
- 9 MR. JIM GRASSIANO: We clarified
- 10 that several, several months ago, I think. And maybe
- in a correspondence or at a RAB meeting, I think,
- 12 and --
- 13 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Can they be put
- on file in the libraries with the rest of the
- documentation so we have easy access to them? I mean,
- not too many of us can get down to Montgomery to
- 17 request --
- 18 MR. JIM GRASSIANO: Sure. That's a
- 19 good -- that would be a responsibility of
- 20 Fort McClellan to do that.
- MR. RON LEVY: And I think -- well,
- let me ask -- where's Lisa at?
- MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Right here.

1	MR. RON LEVY: Lisa, at what point
2	do we put them in the repository?
3	MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: When they become
4	final. But we give you the spread sheets so you know
5	who has put out comments. If you request those
6	comments, I'm sure
7	MR. RON LEVY: We'll provide them.
8	MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: we can
9	provide them to you.
10	MR. RON LEVY: Positive, negative,
11	any comment that you want, we'll provide it. I mean,
12	we agreed to this awhile back, but and I understand
13	that it may be the perception that's it's not
14	available, but it is, you've just got to ask for it.
15	Now, I can't tell you exactly what
16	to ask for. We will give you the information. If you
17	see something that's a particular concern, you let us
18	know, we'll get it to you.
19	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. I think
20	everything has been said and we're probably going to
21	be resaying it. Any other comments? This is probably
22	going to be an ongoing issue with us for the next
23	few

1	MR. PETE CONROY: I guess, the only
2	other tangible thing that we had talked about earlier
3	is, you know, the concept of eliminating the RAB or
4	changing our meeting schedule.
5	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: That's on new
6	business. It's next on the agenda, to talk about our
7	meeting frequency.
8	MR. PETE CONROY: Let's do that.
9	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay.
10	Everybody finished with the program
11	stuff?
12	Let's go to new business and go to
13	the discussion on meeting less frequently and meeting
14	content. Anybody have an opinion about that? I
15	frankly, like most of you, feel like that, come out
16	here and hear these reports and then come back the
17	next month and hear a very similar report.
18	I could hear that quarterly, as well
19	as I could hear it weekly I mean, monthly.
20	MR. JIM MILLER: Well, my thinking
21	was, too, is the staff here puts a lot of work into
22	those reports.

23

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Right.

1	MR. JIM MILLER: Yet, we're kind of
2	sedated, I guess, for lack of a better word term, when
3	we hear them, so we don't respond as well as we might
4	otherwise. And we've contrasted this RAB to the
5	Depot RAB that some of us serve on, that does meet
6	quarterly, unless they've got an issue that has to be
7	addressed, something really hot.
8	So, I would make the motion that we
9	meet quarterly, unless Ron or Gary find that to be a
10	problem.
11	MR. PETE CONROY: Second that
12	motion.
13	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay.
14	Discussion?
15	MS. DONNA FATHKE: My only
16	concern and I have mixed emotions about it either
17	way but one thing I would like to point out is that
18	it's hard enough to keep the thread going when we meet
19	just once a month. It's going to be even more
20	difficult to keep the thread going, if we're only
21	meeting four times a year.
22	MR. JIM MILLER: I think, in
23	response to that, for my own personal point of view, I

1	could do a better job of preparing for a meeting if I
2	could set aside a couple of hours a quarter to read
3	the documents and have some feel for what we're doing
4	here than I can you know, the monthly meeting rolls
5	around before I can get prepared. And I'm up to about
6	seventy-five hours a week now, like most of y'all.
7	So, you know, I've either got to give up sleep or
8	we've got to get a little time in the schedule here.
9	DR. BARRY COX: The only question I
10	would have is when the JPA starts their cleanup
11	efforts, would there be a reason I guess, I would
12	ask Miki that to have a RAB available on a monthly
13	basis? Do you see any reason for that?
14	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: If we had
15	something that we wanted to present to this RAB or if
16	you had a question about something we were doing, we
17	would certainly you could certainly call us and
18	you'd have a special meeting, we could come.
19	DR. BARRY COX: So, is it my
20	understanding that in the future, then the RAB will
21	meet there will be a joint RAB with Fort McClellan
22	and with the Army and with the JPA, is that
23	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: The cleanup

1	agreement the JPA has with ADEM does not call for a
2	RAB like this RAB. There is a public process, and
3	exactly how that public process is going to walk and
4	talk right now will be defined through the bylaws of
5	the program management team that the JPA is creating,
6	in the process of creating right now.
7	MR. JIM MILLER: Miki, let me ask
8	you while we're there is a little bit off the field
9	of the motion on the floor, but is the JPA going to
10	are we going to continue to serve the JPA? Hopefully,
11	in a better job than we've done for the Army, but
12	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Like I've just
13	said, the cleanup agreement that we had with ADEM
14	calls for a public process. It does not it is not

tied to this RAB.

The program management team that the JPA is creating will establish what that public process is going to be. I have no idea, yet, how that will look. We will certainly, any time this RAB has a question about anything we're doing, we will be glad to come. I can get Michelle here or Linda, whoever we need to bring in, to talk over a particular item with you or a site, if we're having trouble or if we think

1	it's something that we need to bring to you. I would
2	certainly hope the Army would open that door for us.
3	MR. RON LEVY: Well, the ESCA,
4	itself, the document between the Army and the JPA,
5	says that the Army will in fact continue the RABs with
6	participation on the part of the JPA. So, we're on
7	the hook for funding RAB meetings and doing RABs. And
8	that's within the ESCA agreement between the JPA.
9	MS. CHERYL BRAGG: For how long?
10	MR. RON LEVY: How those RAB
11	meetings look or how often we do them certainly is up
12	to the RAB.
13	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Our
14	discussion or we have a motion on the floor for
15	meeting quarterly. Any further discussion on that?
16	MR. PETE CONROY: Yeah, is the
17	funding for the RAB tied to the number of meetings we
18	might hold in any way?
19	MR. RON LEVY: No, not that I'm
20	aware of.
21	MR. PETE CONROY: And just
22	hypothetically, if there were no RAB, what would the

Army's opinion be with regards to that, like to have

23

1	one, but don't have to?
2	MR. GARY HARVEY: If you don't want
3	one I mean, we're required to have one, but if you
4	don't want to show, we don't have it. I mean, I just
5	write a letter and say, the people didn't want it
6	MR. RON LEVY: Well, actually,
7	there's a formal process for stopping the RAB that's
8	in the guidelines. But essentially, what Gary says is
9	true: You've got to come back and say and
10	everybody has to be in unison on this, because the RAB
11	is considered as a whole and it's considered
12	individuals. Each individual on the RAB has an
13	advisory voice, and it doesn't have to be consensus.
14	So, we've got to listen. And if
15	there is anybody out in the community that wants it,
16	we're supposed to conduct it. That's in accordance
17	with the guidelines.
18	MR. PETE CONROY: I don't think
19	we're there, yet, but you just said you are required
20	to have a RAB?
21	MR. GARY HARVEY: Well, we're
22	required to conduct a RAB. That doesn't mean you've
23	got to be on a part of it. You know, it's just

- like closing the base, we are required to close the
- base, you're weren't required to take it.
- I'm not trying to be facetious. We
- 4 are -- we have one, we try to have a RAB. If y'all
- say you don't want one, we may go out and say, hey,
- 6 anybody want to be a RAB member? Say they don't, we
- 7 write a letter to the DA and say, there's no interest.
- 8 There is several posts that do not
- 9 have RABs. The RAB is --
- 10 MR. JIM MILLER: I think what we're
- 11 really saying is: We want to be a responsible RAB or
- we'd rather not be one.
- MR. GARY HARVEY: I understand, Jim.
- 14 I'm not disagreeing with your point.
- MR. JIM MILLER: Since we've got a
- 16 motion on the floor, I --
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Everybody in
- favor of the quarterly meeting say I. Anybody
- 19 opposed, say no.
- 20 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I got a
- 21 question.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay.
- MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I've got a

- copy of the bylaws. Do we need to establish now when
- those quarterly meetings will be? They are now the
- 3 third Monday of each month at 6:30.
- 4 MR. JIM MILLER: We're essentially
- 5 amending the bylaws here.
- 6 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Yeah. Do
- 7 we need to, in the bylaws --
- 8 MR. PETE CONROY: Yes.
- 9 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I would think
- 10 so --
- 11 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: -- to set
- 12 the --
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Do we have to
- have a motion to amend the bylaws first?
- MR. JIM MILLER: I would amend my
- motion to amend the bylaws.
- 17 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Let's see
- 18 what it says.
- MR. PETE CONROY: (Inaudible.)
- 20 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: It just
- 21 says, these bylaws may be amended from time to time by
- 22 affirmative vote, therefore, the regular membership of
- the RAB at a duly-noticed meeting. That's all it

1	says,	as	far	as	amendment.

- MR. JIM MILLER: We're there, I've
- 3 amended my motion, with your permission.
- 4 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: To do
- 5 what?
- 6 MR. JIM MILLER: To amend the bylaws
- 7 to change the meetings from once a month to a date, I
- 8 guess, that we need to discuss, quarterly, until --
- 9 unless there is some reason to have a called meeting.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Right.
- MR. PETE CONROY: Accepted
- 12 (phonetic).
- MR. JIM MILLER: Thank you.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: All right.
- 15 Let's go back to the vote, because I don't think I got
- 16 that clear.
- 17 Everybody in favor of amending the
- bylaws and meeting quarterly, say I. Any opposed say
- 19 no. Now, let's talk about when we're going to meet
- 20 first.
- THE COURT REPORTER: Monty, you've
- got to tell me on the record if it passed or if it
- 23 didn't.

1	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: It passed.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
3	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: We do not meet
4	in December. So, do you want to meet in January and
5	then quarterly from there? That would be the way to
6	set it up.
7	MR. JIM MILLER: That would seem to
8	make sense to me
9	MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Excuse me.
10	In your packets, there is a suggested RAB meeting
11	dates. If you look, it should be at the end of your
12	packet, that may help you. Look at them, because
13	those were the dates that were there before, so, you
14	can just scratch out what you don't want.
15	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I don't
16	where are we?
17	MR. JIM MILLER: I assume these are
18	all Mondays.
19	MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
20	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: They're all
21	Mondays; January, April, July, and what, October?
22	November? Okay, that work, January 12th, April 19,

July 19, November 15? And no meeting in December of

23

1	2001	D_{Ω}	T47	naad	+ 0	770+A	on	those?
上	400 4 .	טט	$w \subset$	meea	LU	VULE	OII	CHOSE:

- 2 DR. DAVID STEFFY: Be October.
- 3 MR. JERRY ELSER: October.
- 4 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: October
- 5 instead of November. October the 18th instead of
- 6 November.
- 7 MR. JIM MILLER: It suits me, if it
- 8 suits y'all.
- 9 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay.
- 10 Everybody in favor of those dates, say I. Any
- opposed, say no. Motion passed.
- 12 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
- 13 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Did we want to
- talk about the meeting content or do we just want to
- talk about meeting less? We've been talking about
- 16 content, so, let's move on. Okay?
- 17 Look in your packet. There are
- 18 applications for new RAB members. We want to share
- 19 the task of doing nothing with some more folks.
- So, you see the ballot, you see
- 21 these names that are before you, we are to elect two.
- So, I suggest we take the time right now to make the
- 23 ballot.

1	MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Monty, they
2	could change the bylaws and just make it fifteen
3	members instead of seventeen, if they do not want to
4	elect two new members. I mean, that's just an idea.
5	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. Brenda
6	said that we could change the bylaws and reduce the
7	number on the Board by two instead of having to elect
8	two new members.
9	But I think we ought to share the
10	nothing with everybody. Anybody want to make a speech
11	for any of these candidates?
12	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH:
13	Robert Jackson, I would encourage Robert is on the
14	Transportation Committee with the Chamber of Commerce,
15	and he's also on the local MPO, and since there are
16	going to be some issues on the road, with the new
17	auxiliary road and also the bypass is coming in, I
18	think that he would have some input maybe from that
19	aspect that would contribute to the RAB.
20	MR. JIM MILLER: He could be an
21	asset to us. He's a good guy.
22	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Who is that?
23	MR. JIM MILLER: Mr. Jackson.

1	MR.	$M \cap M \cap M$	CLENDENIN:
_	1.11 C •	1.10111 1	

- 2 Mr. Bob Jackson.
- 3 MR. JIM MILLER: I worked with him
- 4 on the Chamber's infrastructure committee.
- 5 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I was his
- 6 spiritual advisor, and so I could say yeah to that,
- 7 but --
- 8 All right. Just pass those in, I
- 9 guess, to me, I guess.
- 10 MR. PETE CONROY: I'll put in a plug
- 11 for Penn Wilson who works at Jacksonville State and a
- good community servant, and then also, James Hall, who
- lives in West Anniston and is president of what's
- 14 called the Brownfield Institute.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. Just
- get those to me as soon as you can. We'll move on.
- 17 Agency reports, starting with ADEM,
- 18 anybody here from there?
- DR. BARRY COX: Philip.
- 20 MR. PHILIP STROUD: Yeah. All
- 21 right. First of all, I want to introduce again
- 22 Shana Decker. That's S-H-A-N-A. And she's coming on
- 23 board and we're ramping up -- she's been some great

1	help already, and we're trying to ramp up some of the
2	new employees with what's to be expected in the
3	future.
4	And we're in the midst of reviewing
5	several reports right now. I'm going to go ahead and
6	hand these out. These are the ones that are incoming
7	at this time.
8	And so, basically we're just
9	extremely busy and getting ready for do you have
10	any questions on those handouts? It's just
11	actually, these are the incoming reports. Like I
12	said, we're in the midst of reviewing quite a few
13	other reports, so
14	MR. PETE CONROY: You got anymore?
15	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Yeah, they're
16	coming. I realized.
17	I did talk to Doyle Brittain, he
18	said he would not be here today. And I don't know if
19	he passed along his information or not. Did you
20	receive that?
21	MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: No, he did

22 not.

23

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

MR. PHILIP STROUD: Okay. I don't

1	really have much more to add than that. But we have
2	several meetings coming up in the future that we're
3	preparing for also, immediate future that is. Feel
4	free to call me, any of you.
5	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Thank you,
6	Phillip. Any questions for Phillip?
7	And no EPA report. JPA report,
8	Ms. Miki.
9	MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Thank you, sir.
10	The JPA is busy getting ourselves
11	into the cleanup business. Michelle Feetman
12	(phonetic) from Matrix will be here in fact, I have
13	to leave to meet her in just a few minutes. They're
14	coming in tonight for a two-day meeting with the Army
15	this week. And then we will be continuing our setup
16	and getting ourselves organized for the meeting the
17	responsibilities that we have in the ESCA with the
18	Army.
19	The next good thing that you will
20	see out here, Hungin, the automotive supplier, has

see out here, Hungin, the automotive supplier, has bought additional property from the JPA and will be expanding to meet their automotive needs. They are very pleased with their progress, and they'll be

21

22

23

1	building an additional building on. And I think it's
2	expansion for a contract they have with Mercedes.
3	At tomorrow morning's meeting, the
4	Board will be giving leasing additional property to
5	Auburn University. They are going to continue
6	expansion of their program. Those of you who are
7	familiar with the dog program know how successful it's
8	been, and I know it's been a great asset to the local
9	police departments, as well as to Home Land Security.
10	They are now the official canine training center for
11	Home Land Security. And they will be expanding their
12	facility by fifty kennels. And that's turned into a
13	great asset to this community.
14	And then, our access road, tomorrow
15	morning, we hope the Board will let a contract for the
16	survey of the industrial access road from Highway 21
17	down to Baines Gap Road with the delay in the
18	construction of the eastern bypass.
19	This industrial access road becomes
20	very important for attracting industrial clients and
21	we have the a survey or crew that we'll
22	hopefully, we'll award the contract to tomorrow, and
23	you'll start seeing people in the field over the next

1	three	months,	setting	that	right-of-way	r and	staking

- 2 that industrial access line.
- 3 Any questions?
- 4 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: When do
- 5 you think that the environmental cleanup, that y'all
- 6 will be able to pick that up?
- 7 MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry?
- 8 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: In Alpha,
- 9 environmental cleanup, when do you foresee getting
- 10 that back on track?
- MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: Well, after the
- first of the year, we hope to have the program
- management team up here and running. I mean, they're
- already starting to do some work from Denver, but we
- will hope to have people on the ground here after
- 16 December.
- 17 That's the intention on the Board's
- work.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Anything
- 20 else?
- MS. MIKI SCHNEIDER: No. I'm going
- to go meet Michelle.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: All right.

1	Y'all have fun.
2	Really, there is no TRC report, as I
3	understand it, right, Technical Review Committee?
4	Action summary sheets, questions or
5	comments, got those?
6	MR. RON LEVY: I can review the
7	sheet, if you want, or I can just take questions. I
8	can just give you a quick update of what's going on,
9	and I'll go over here to the map to point out
LO	(inaudible).
L1	The first thing on the UXO work, the
L2	OE, the Bravo area, this area here in orange, one of
L3	the meetings that Miki referred to tomorrow and that
L4	also Philip referred to tomorrow is the discussion of
L5	the Bravo area.
L6	We did send a draft document to
L7	ADEM, and we're going to sit down with the JPA and
L8	ADEM to discuss the Bravo area, talk a little bit
L9	about what's in there, look at their comments or
20	concerns and potentially go out there and do some more
21	sampling, based on what it is that comes out of that
22	meeting.

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

That document is out in draft, if

1	the RAB wants to see that, it's available for them, as
2	well. JPA does have it.

The Y area removal, is this area right here above the -- tract two of the -- tract three of the eastern bypass. And that work has been completed, as it's been pointed out there.

The Charlie area and -- which is this area in here -- (pointing), that document is with the Fish and Wildlife Service, they're reviewing that. Hopefully, we'll see comments some time in the future on that. There is discussion on that. It's out as a draft document, so the RAB is able to take copies of that, if they want to see it.

In the eastern bypass, OES area one, which includes -- there's two pieces -- this little yellow here, is the flying J and this piece here -- (pointing) -- both of those we've already gone through some discussions and comments with ADEM.

One of the things that occurred with -- ALDOT got involved. ALDOT did some work -- you probably saw it in the newspaper -- for this piece right here, which is called tract two -- (pointing) -- and essentially, internally, their recommendations

1	were to move forward with construction.
2	I don't know specifically what
3	ALDOT's next step is on that. But their report
4	concluded that they could start construction because
5	they really, in a nutshell, didn't find anything. I
6	don't know if they're talking back with ADEM about
7	that or not.
8	This northern part of tract three,
9	which is here on up (witness pointing) that
10	feild work is complete. We have been discussing
11	going back and forth with comments with ADEM on that.
12	So, that's out there.
13	We're hoping to see concurrence at
14	some point from ADEM. We're working through that.
15	The Army is preparing a letter of clearance on that
16	(inaudible) since we've now done it.
17	Part of that clearance will also
18	involve some construction support availability, based
19	on the fact that there's a piece in there because
20	of the depth of the construction that occurred out
21	there from building a road, we'll have to have that
22	available to ALDOT for purposes of their clearance

because we couldn't look down far enough because of

23

1	the debris. So, there is some of that going on.
2	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Ron, let
3	me ask you on tract three, why did ALDOT do that
4	investigation instead of y'all?
5	MR. RON LEVY: Why did ALDOT do
6	that? The Army made well, just to put it simply,
7	the Army said that, you know, we believe it's clean,
8	we certify it's clean. ADEM came back and said,
9	essentially, we don't agree. And the Army stuck with
10	its position, and I think ADEM stuck with their
11	position.
12	ALDOT felt that in order to move
13	this thing forward, that they were going to do some
14	more work to be absolutely sure and, in fact, that's
15	what happened.
16	MR. GARY HARVEY: And in fact, they
17	validated our letter of clearance, Ed. So, that
18	DR. BARRY COX: Philip, do you have
19	any comment on that one?
20	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Well, we're
21	still going to have issues, even regardless if they
22	didn't find anything or they did find anything. It's

good to know they didn't find anything with this

23

4			-		
1	val	٦	ฝล	† 1	α n
	v a ±	_	ua	-	. Отт •

- 2 But ADEM is still questioning the
- 3 methodology of why certain things are still found out
- 4 there, why they're digging up certain items out there,
- 5 the size they were finding. So, we've still got
- 6 questions to ask about that.
- 7 DR. BARRY COX: What do you mean by
- 8 found "certain things," there are things that should
- 9 have been found or what --
- MR. PHILIP STROUD: Things that
- should have been found, like horseshoes and pipes and
- things like that that are still in the ground.
- So, we're still going to ask a lot
- of questions. This is something on validation of
- 15 data.
- 16 It's been a long-term question of
- ours. You should be very aware of that.
- MR. GARY HARVEY: There was no
- 19 unexploded ordnance found.
- MR. RON LEVY: No bodies.
- 21 MR. GARY HARVEY: No bodies.
- DR. BARRY COX: Are you saying it's
- a fundamental disagreement between ADEM and the Army

1	on how to proceed or would that be a fair statement or
2	what is
3	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Well, with this
4	particular parcel of property that ALDOT was going to
5	get, some of our early questions, it's fairly
6	complicated in the way they dig up ordnance, and the
7	methodology they use, and the type of instruments they
8	used, and then the QAQC that was used. So, we
9	followed all these procedures. We felt uncomfortable
10	with those procedures. ALDOT was already asking that
11	question, too, so, we could not confidently say, yes,
12	we feel comfortable that this land, you know, that's
13	going to be dug up with bulldozers.
14	So, ALDOT took it on themselves,
15	saying, let's just look at it. So, when they came
16	back with some information, they said, wow, there is a
17	lot apparently, there's something under the ground
18	here. And when they went out and physically dug it
19	up, they came up with fairly large items in the top
20	foot.
21	So, we are naturally asking, what
22	why were those not dug up, you know. So, we're still
23	asking those questions. So, we don't know the full

1 answer to this, ye

- DR. BARRY COX: And then, Ron, what
- 3 is the question why they weren't dug up? What is the
- 4 answer?
- 5 MR. RON LEVY: I'm not sure what
- 6 he's talking about. I mean, what items are we talking
- 7 about?
- 8 MR. PHILIP STROUD: Well, they're
- 9 from the ALDOT reports.
- MR. RON LEVY: Well, they were
- 11 certainly items out there, but the Army's position
- 12 was: It was a training area, it wasn't an impact
- area. You're going to find eaches (phonetic) of all
- sorts of stuff, to include historical use of that
- property with large anomalous readings.
- 16 We did essentially one-foot
- 17 clearance. And it was based upon the history of the
- 18 site, which is documented, because it wasn't an impact
- 19 area, so we did removal. As part of that, there was
- 20 some -- there was some turning up of the soils and
- other things that went on out there. So, there may
- have been things that came back up. But we did remove
- what -- if it was any OE, or OE items, we did remove

2 Because of the history of the site, 3 there wasn't any -- we felt there was not any reason 4 to be looking any deeper at a significant cost, which obviously ALDOT had to pay for, you know, because they 5 6 were stuck in between the Army's position and ADEM's 7 position. So, well, they spent a hundred and fifty thousand dollars. And essentially what the Army is 8 9 saying that they concluded, what we concluded, that 10 there was no -- it was not an impact area, there was 11 no ordnance out there associated with that. 12 So, now -- and I know ADEM's got a 13 philosophical difference on that. Some of it is about how the data was derived, and we understand that, and 14 15 we're discussing that. 16 But as far as this site is 17 concerned, I think the Army and ALDOT are in agreement 18 that there isn't -- there isn't any issues out there. 19 MR. GARY HARVEY: Might add one 20 thing, Barry: If we'd have found unexploded ordnance 21 in the one-foot clearance, then our assumption would have been void, and we'd have had to go the full 22 measure, and we didn't.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. And so, just
2	for clarification, there was some things, some metal
3	within the one foot, but you're saying that was
4	because the soil was churned up, is the reason it
5	wasn't I think that's
6	MR. DAN COPELAND: We performed a
7	one-foot clearance so we could have timber harvest.
8	You know, any time you start harvesting timber, you're
9	going to have some moving of the soil.
10	MR. RON LEVY: On any of the root
11	rakes and whatnot that were used out there, even
12	though they say they don't go below a foot, I can tell
13	you they went below a foot, and they were things
14	(inaudible) that were moved out. And the fact is that
15	we didn't remove because it wasn't ordnance.
16	MR. DAN COPELAND: Right. Right.
17	(Inaudible.) We're required to remove all ordnance
18	items.
19	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. So, you find
20	some heavy piece of metal, there would be no reason to
21	remove it?
22	MR. RON LEVY: Right.
23	MR. PHILIP STROUD: Well, we're

1	going to challenge that because we have the report of
2	what was to be done. And in that report, it clearly
3	says anything greater than three inches needs to be
4	will be dug up and removed. So, when you go back and
5	you find items greater than three inches, a
6	significant amount of them, then we've got to ask that
7	question.
8	MR. RON LEVY: No, Philip, you got
9	the wrong thing. It's anything on the surface that's
10	three inches or larger was going to be removed.
11	MR. GARY HARVEY: Anyway, Barry
12	DR. BARRY COX: Yes.
13	MR. GARY HARVEY: We are still
14	arguing I mean, we brought our geochemist in from
15	Huntsville, and we had a meeting. I'm not sure where
16	we're going to resolve our difference
17	DR. BARRY COX: But the reason we're
18	asking that, of course, is that if there is a
19	difference between the two, I think the RAB would
20	certainly like to hear what both sides have to say and
21	then go on from there.
22	MR. JIM GRASSIANO: Dr. Cox, if I

could add, I think suffice it to say that ALDOT has

23

1	the information that it needed after conducting its
2	additional investigation that it has exercised enough
3	due diligence on its part to proceed with its
4	construction.
5	We still have ADEM still has some
6	differences with the Army on the methodologies used
7	and the level of clearance, their investigation that
8	was completed on the Bravo area, and that's going to
9	be part of the investigations that are going to be
10	(inaudible) tomorrow.
11	MR. PETE CONROY: What's the time
12	frame, resolving the difference, you guys?
13	MR. JIM GRASSIANO: I don't have the
14	answer to that. That's a good question. But we may
15	know more after this meeting is over.
16	MR. GARY HARVEY: The reason we're
17	having a meeting tomorrow is because we've got
18	differences.
19	DR. BARRY COX: And how long have
20	these difference been how long has this been on the
21	surface? Is it something new that's just come up that
22	y'all have differences?

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

MR. RON LEVY: No, in fact. Some of

1	the comments that you all saw in the Alpha area EE/CA
2	that Pete (phonetic) showed you I mean, those
3	were they're programmatic issues, they're not just
4	about the Alpha area, they're across the entire
5	spectrum.
6	MR. JIM GRASSIANO: I'd say about a
7	year and a half.
8	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. About a year
9	and a half. So, it's been a year and a half we've had
10	these differences between ADEM and the Army?
11	MR. JIM GRASSIANO: Uh-huh.
12	MR. RON LEVY: Well, one of the
13	things that we've argued about and it's kind of
14	been tough is that we started down the road we
15	started with approved work plans. And understanding
16	that ADEM got into this business a little late, and
17	they brought in their own contractor well, which
18	started looking at the documents, some things came out
19	after the Army had performed the work that we had
20	already gone through on our approved work plan. So
21	and then still, some of those things we still say that
22	the Army's expertise and what it does internally is
23	satisfies these requirements.

1	Now, ADEM doesn't agree with that,
2	but that's been the Army's position. Certainly, we
3	have a history of doing this work for a long time now.
4	And we've get a center of excellence that does it for
5	a living every day.
6	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: So, let me
7	ask you a question. You said we could receive a copy
8	of the EE/CA for Bravo, for instance. Can we also get
9	a copy of the comments that are made, as far as
10	MR. GARY HARVEY: Yeah, absolutely.
11	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay. So,
12	who do we need to contact for those?
13	MR. GARY HARVEY: Huh?
14	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Who do we
15	need to contact to get those?
16	MR. RON LEVY: I'll
17	MR. GARY HARVEY: Call me, call Ron.
18	If you don't get it, call me.
19	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay.
20	MR. DAN COPELAND: We haven't
21	received any comments on Bravo yet.

be able to probably have some --

22

23

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

MR. PHILIP STROUD: Tomorrow we'll

1	MR. GARY HARVEY: We're still
2	working that.
3	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: And I
4	guess I'm more interested in this will be comments
5	from ADEM and EPA, too?
6	MR. PHILIP STROUD: No, just ADEM.
7	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: What I'm
8	more interested in is is when the BCT gets together
9	and there are issues that are brought in there or
10	questions, as far as what was discussed tonight, then
11	are those available to us, too? Now, I know the
12	public, when you have public input, but when there are
13	conflicts like this tonight I know it's being
14	brought out now, but will those be made available to
15	us, also?
16	MR. RON LEVY: In fact the
17	transcripts or the minutes from the BCT meetings, and
18	we have them are put into the repository, as well,
19	they're out there.
20	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay.
21	Well, I was under the impression at one time we were
22	told that that was supposed to be held in confidence,
23	the negotiations in the BCT

1	MR. RON LEVY: Well, you've got the
2	JPA who also sits in with the BCT. Remember the JPA
3	is a
4	MR. GARY HARVEY: People have not
5	been having trouble finding what's going on in the
6	BCT, okay. So, let's do away with that. People are
7	finding out.
8	But your question is legitimate, if
9	you want to know, we'll give you the minutes. The
10	only problem we had starting out with the BCT is the
11	group is sitting there, voting on alternatives that
12	cost money. All right.
13	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Right.
14	MR. GARY HARVEY: That gets to be a
15	problem when only Government people can obligate money
16	or have it. I mean, you don't want us running the
17	City of Weaver, because we'd probably really slow you
18	down there and
19	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Probably
20	not much more than we already are.
21	MR. GARY HARVEY: you sure don't
22	want us running Jax State.

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

But if you got a question on any

1	document, and you can't get it, once that thing's
2	printed, it's pretty well unless it's procurement
3	sensitive, we'll get it for you.
4	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: My
5	question, Gary, is, I guess, there are different
6	technologies and things that are out there that are
7	discussed by the BCT. I understand, because this is
8	the issue that we discussed there.
9	We don't have the backgrounds and
10	that was the question that we asked, when Ron came in,
11	Ron Grant, came in, you know, we were wanting to know,
12	you know, what technology is out there, is there
13	something that could better serve in there? So,
14	that's my basis of my question. I don't mean to go
15	into that, but that's my basis. And if we can get
16	those things, then that answers my question.
17	MR. GARY HARVEY: And those are real
18	problems to all of us, because we start down a road,
19	we do a work plan, we're doing it by this method, and
20	then all of sudden, here comes another method now, and
21	you turn on a dime and go this way. Well, it's just
22	like buying a computer. It changes every day.

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I

1	understand. I hope you understand
2	MR. GARY HARVEY: Okay. But if you
3	don't get a document, you let me know, and we'll get
4	them to you.
5	MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Because I
6	do read them.
7	MR. RON LEVY: Anymore discussion on
8	that? I'll just do as far as the landfill EE/CA
9	investigation, obviously, most of you know that that
10	work went to the JPA, and there are a couple of sites
11	that did not (inaudible) stumped (phonetic) on. An
12	area that's inside the bypass, and area which has been
13	completely reworked in terms of what's happened out
14	there and the probable fill area, range thirty, which
15	is in this general area up in there.
16	Those are things that we're going to
17	close out. We had some discussion. EPA has been
18	involved, JPA knows those decisions that were made and
19	has agreed to them.
20	Essentially, there wasn't any issues
21	there. One of the big things that came out of our
22	discussions in the past was ADEM was wanting to see us

do some soil gases or landfill gases at all these

23

1	sites, which we did complete, and we provided those to
2	ADEM. We didn't see anything new associated with
3	that.
4	One of the things we wanted to say
5	to you is that we're doing close-out reports for
6	those, so, you'll so, there will be some documents
7	that come out along that line, even though the work's
8	migrating over towards the JPA.
9	One new action that's happening, I
10	think the last BCT meeting, I briefed is it the
11	last one or the one before that? We briefed that
12	there is a parcel, or there is a piece of property
13	that has not been transferred (pointing)
14	essentially, so it's what's up underneath
15	Highway 21, runs alongside the installation. We are
16	starting a FOST on that, so that we can transfer it to
17	the Highway Department to get rid of that last piece
18	of property. So, that's what's referenced in here is
19	that Highway 21 FOST.
20	It will exclude the piece up here,
21	which is impacted by the groundwater that's that
22	will be addressed in the ESCA document.

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

And then you can see, there is two

1	property transfer actions that are sitting there, the
2	fifty-five acres and the building is what the fire
3	department is using. It's in the middle of main post.
4	It was apparently it did not make it out until just
5	recently.
6	And then working some acreages in
7	here (witness pointing) that were cleared for
8	transfer to ALDOT, the tract two piece. That's the
9	Flying J. that we were just talking about. ALDOT's
LO	(inaudible) start construction.
L1	Any other questions?
L2	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Thank you.
L3	The ballot, Robert Jackson, ten and James Hall, six,
L4	will be the new members, Robert and James. So, make
L5	note of that.
L6	TAPP report.
L7	MR. RON GRANT: Okay. That's me. I
L8	guess, I charged off seventeen and a quarter hours.
L9	had, subject to the RAB meeting, you know, when you
20	all asked me to do a briefing, I spent a couple of
21	hours talking with Ron and Karen Pinson and them

about -- charged off a total of twelve hours in

preparing my presentation tonight. So, that's where

22

23

1	t.he	bulk	οf	t.hat.	went.

- 2 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Good
- 3 presentation, too, that was helpful for all of us.
- 4 MR. RON LEVY: I'll just add to
- 5 that, Ron, of the total hours that's left in his
- 6 contract, there's three hundred and forty and a half
- 7 hours left in his contract, since he's -- he bills on
- 8 an hourly basis, so, right now -- we started at five
- 9 hundred, there's three hundred and forty point five
- 10 hours still available.
- 11 MR. GRANT: That goes through, I
- 12 guess, through April.
- MR. RON LEVY: Right. And we have
- the option of extending it, certainly.
- 15 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Jim Miller asked
- 16 me to bring up the question whether or not we wanted
- 17 to meet with Ron again, like we did tonight at the
- next meeting, prior to that meeting, I guess, to be
- 19 determined.
- 20 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: You got an
- 21 advanced course for us?
- MR. RON GRANT: Jim, gave me a name
- for this one tonight. He gave me that. He didn't

1	1 00000	_	20.000	f 0.70	+ha	20 0	~~~~~+ · + · · ~~
T	reave	a	Hallie	LOL	LHE	next	presentation.

- 2 That's up to you. I guess I just
- 3 need some idea of what you want to cover. You know,
- 4 if you want to do that.
- 5 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Well, does the
- 6 RAB want to do it? I guess that's the issue.
- 7 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I would
- 8 like for you to review the Bravo area EE/CA and bring
- 9 some comments on that.
- 10 MR. RON GRANT: Okay.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: 5:30 for the
- 12 pre-meeting. Okay. Do we need to vote on that?
- 13 Everybody in favor say I. Any opposed say no.
- Passes. Okay. 5:30, our next meeting.
- 15 Anything else, Ron?
- MR. RON GRANT: No.
- 17 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. TOSC
- 18 report.
- MR. RON LEVY: Can I -- I mean, you
- 20 got another resource here in the terms of Bob there.
- 21 Do you want to consider use of his time, too? He has
- 22 not done any work up to this point, have you, Bob?
- MR. BOB SCHMITTER: There's been a

- 1 review of documents in the repository and the library
- 2 that's -- trying to get us up to speed on what's going
- on here, but that's about it.
- DR. BARRY COX: One thing we could
- 5 consider is perhaps if -- and, Bob, if you have the
- 6 expertise, is that maybe you can weigh in for us on
- 7 the issue with UXOs between the Army and ADEM, the
- 8 difference in philosophies.
- 9 MR. BOB SCHMITTER: Sure.
- DR. BARRY COX: That would be good
- 11 for next meeting, too.
- MR. BOB SCHMITTER: Take a look at
- it and see what we can do.
- DR. BARRY COX: I'll just throw that
- 15 out as a --
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: All right.
- 17 Anything else? Was that the TOSC -- you're the TOSC
- 18 guy, right?
- MR. BOB SCHMITTER: Right.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: All right.
- 21 Any upcoming programs?
- DR. BARRY COX: Would you have time
- 23 to do that one by next -- that would be just a month,

- that would probably -- have to put that off for a
- 2 little bit longer than that.
- 3 DR. DAVID STEFFY: January.
- DR. BARRY COX: Will two months be
- 5 enough time to -- that will be a little tight. In
- 6 other words, do nothing for eight months, and then all
- 7 of a sudden --
- 8 MR. BOB SCHMITTER: Well, I need to
- 9 know what -- the specifics of -- I'll get with you or
- 10 whoever and see what I can do, give it a shot.
- 11 MR. PETE CONROY: I'll mention that
- on December 2nd, 4:00 o'clock, at McClellan, there
- will be a JPA public use meeting, where Scott Parker
- will go to the community, for public input, the reuse
- 15 plan.
- 16 MS. DONNA FATHKE: At
- 17 Remington Place.
- MR. PETE CONROY: Remington Hall.
- MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Any other
- 20 upcoming programs?
- 21 This does not have to do with
- cleanup, but it does have to do with restoration. My
- 23 church has just received a grant from the

1	Presbyterian Church USA to involve Native Americans in
2	what happens to the snake effigy that's on top of
3	Skeleton Mountain. We're working on that, and I have
4	a meeting, I hope, next Saturday with Joyce Baird, who
5	is the person that's with the Cherokee Nation in
6	Muskogee, Oklahoma. They are very interested in
7	looking at this site, talking about what's going to
8	happen to it.
9	So, anybody and Ron gave me some
10	tremendous information, but anybody who has
11	information about that or interest in that, I'd like
12	to solicit some input from this committee. Some of
13	you may not even know about it, but it's a rock effigy
14	that's on top of one of the mountains on the south rim
15	of the Fort.
16	Harry Holstein has done some work up
17	there, an archeologist from UAB has done some work up
18	there. It is probably Mississippians, it is probably
19	pre-Columbian and probably pre-Creek, but the
20	Creek Nation is the authoritative folks for this area.
21	Cherokees come down as far as Gadsden.
22	So, that's something that I'm
23	working on and would appreciate any input and I would

1	also like to know if the Army if anybody has any
2	information about other Native American sites on the
3	Fort, besides that particular one. Are there other
4	sites that should be looked at?
5	MR. RON LEVY: There are certainly
6	other sites that were investigated under a phase-one
7	investigation, and there are other sites that went to
8	phase two. Those sites are identified in deeds in the
9	documents that go to the JPA on the transfers, because
10	in some senses, they are protected sites.
11	So, the only thing that concerns
12	some folks about defining where those sites are, is
13	that you don't get people go out there and start, you
14	know, treading on any
15	MR. GARY HARVEY: Rooting around
16	looking for souvenirs.
17	MR. RON LEVY: Yes.
18	MR. PETE CONROY: Are the JSU
19	archeology community kind of plugged in to this
20	meeting?
21	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Well, I have
22	not talked with them, and I haven't talked with Harry

about it in a long time, in terms of an official

23

1	capacity.
2	MR. PETE CONROY: Do you have a date
3	for it again?
4	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: For what?
5	MR. PETE CONROY: The meeting.
6	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: She is in
7	Columbus, Georgia this week and is going to be passing
8	through here next Saturday, so, I'm trying to arrange
9	a meeting with her Saturday morning.
10	MR. PETE CONROY: Between Lisa and
11	I, I think we can triangulate on those folks.
12	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Okay. All
13	right, good.
14	Audience comments? Any comments
15	from the participants? Do I hear a motion that we
16	oh, our next meeting, no meeting in December, next
17	meeting will be January the 12th. That's all I know.
18	Do I hear a motion we adjourn?
19	DR. BARRY COX: So moved.
20	MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Everybody in
21	favor say I. Motion passed.
22	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)
3	CALHOUN COUNTY)
4	
5	I, SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State of
7	Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,
8	HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before
9	me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
10	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
11	a true and correct transcript of the proceeding to the
12	best of my ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding
14	was taken at the time and place and was concluded
15	without adjournment.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	
2	
3	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
4	set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston, Alabama, 5
on	this the 19th of December, 2003.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	SAMANTHA E. NOBLE
12	Notary Public in and for
13	Alabama at Large
14	
15	
16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 11-19-2005.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	